

[PRICE \$2½ PER MONTH]

PIANOFORTE RECITAL.

HERR HEINRICH KÖHLER
begs to announce that he will give a
PIANOFORTE RECITAL,
TO-MORROW (FRIDAY) EVENING,
16th September,
at
ST. ANDREW'S HALL, CITY HALL.

PROGRAMME:—

1.—Prelude and Airs **HUTTENLOCH.**
2.—Minuet **MOZART.**
3.—March and Finales from **WEBER.**
the Concertstuck
1.—Scherzo in B minor **CHOPIN.**
2.—Introduction and Rondo
from the Waldstein **BEETHOVEN.**
Sonata (Opus C
1.—Tarantelle **NICOLÉ.**
2.—Nocturne **CHOPIN.**
3.—Finales from the First
Concerto **MENDELSSOHN.**

1.—La Fugata.....LISZT.
2.—Pilgrims' Chorus.....WAGNER-LISZT.
 from Tannhäuser.....
3.—Hungarian Rhapsody.....LISZT.

Doors Open at 8.30 to commence at 9 P.M.

Tickets to be obtained at Messrs. KELLY &
VALSH, LD., Messrs. LANE, CRAWFORD & Co.,
and Messrs. KRUSE & Co.

Hongkong, 14th September, 1887. [1771

BRITISH NORTH BORNEO.

No. 64.
NOTICE.
TO SHIP MASTERS, SHIPPING
AGENTS, &c.,

WHEREAS it has been brought to the notice of the GOVERNMENT of BRITISH NORTH BORNEO that Chinese who are desirous of emigrating to British North Borneo find a difficulty in doing so owing to their want of knowledge of the date of departure of ships and steamers.

It is hereby made known that a commission of not more than ONE DOLLAR for each able bodied adult Chinaman will be paid to whoever may chiefly assist in procuring passages for Immigrants to British North Borneo, on a printed order from the Captain addressed to the Government Agents in Hongkong who are hereby authorised to pay such orders.

By the Governor's Command,
L. B. DONOP,
Government Secretary.

The PRINTED ORDERS referred to in the above Notification can be obtained from us

GIBB, LIVINGSTON & Co.,
Government Agents.

—Hongkong, 15th September, 1887. — [1775]

BRITISH NORTH BORNEO OR SABAH,
SANDAKAN.

NOTICE.

TO THE INHABITANTS OF CHINA.

The Government of British North Borneo being desirous of seeing honest Chinese Farmers, Traders, and others settle in this land on the following easy terms—

1.—Immigrants must thoroughly understand that the land is as a rule covered with Forest which the immigrants must fell and clear for

- 2.—Of this land in localities approved by the Government, each man will be given a plot of 200 square metres, one acre, and each child, whether a boy or a girl, under sixteen years of age, half an acre.
- 3.—The Farmers and Gardenera must begin properly to cultivate and plant the land selected by them within the first year of their settlement, and which a provisional lease will be given, and to avoid any mistake it will be better that they should immediately on their arrival see the Government Officer of the post and give in their names and the ages of the members of the settlement. This can be done without any payment whatever.
- 4.—After the land has been selected and planting begun the Government will give a written lease for each plot which will endure for 99 years, that is for practically a lifetime.
- 5.—The Government will be at liberty to mark the Govern-

are they hold and five cents for each half acre, and in return for this small payment the Government guarantees them protection and that they shall not be oppressed by either their own countrymen, or by white men or by others.

5.—Before they have obtained the written leases the Farmers and Gardners cannot sell their lands to others without the consent of the Government, but after they have got the lease then they can sell the land, if they wish. But after the lease is granted, no rent is not only paid to the Government for three successive years, the land will revert to the Government.

6.—If any Farmer or Gardener wishes to have more than one acre of Land he will be able to buy up to five acres from Government at fifty cents per acre as the Government wish to see Chinese

ten cents per cent.

7.—In Hongkong Messrs GIBB, LIVINGSTON & CO., or Messrs. BIRLEY, DALRYMPLE & CO., will give every information to intending Immigrants as to the best way of coming to the country.

8.—In Hongkong there are often sailing Vessels coming to the country, and from Singapore there are three steamers running regularly.—In Singapore the Chinese can go to Messrs. A. L. JORDAN & CO.

9.—Chinese coming to this country need have no fear, as there are many of their countrymen settled here, and their customs are not interfered with by the Government, but Secret Societies are not allowed.

W. H. TEACHEER,
Governor.

Sandakan, 22nd April, 1887. 11778

FOR SHANGHAI.

The Steamship

"AMOOY."

Captain R. Kübler, will be despatched for the above Port of DAPAY, the 15th inst., at Four P.M., intending passengers advertised.

JOHN G. FORTESCAUGH, AGENT.

Hongkong, 14th September, 1887. [177]

FOR SWATOW.

THE Steamship

"NANSHAN."

will be despatched for the above Port TO
MORROW, the 15th instant, at TEN A.M.

Per Freight or Passage, apply to
HOPKING HONG,
Agents,
Hongkong, 14th September, 1887. [177]

FOR BANGKOK DIRECT.

THE SCOTCH FISH OIL STEAM
SHIP COMPANY, LIMITED.
OVERSEA STEAMER

"KILLARNEY."
 Captain J. O'Neill, will be despatched for the
 above Port TO-MORROW, the 16th instant
 at THREE P.M.
 For Freight or Passage, apply to
 YUEN FAT HONG,
 Agents.
 Hongkong, 15th September, 1887. [177

INTIMATIONS.

1887. NOW READY. 1887

THE CHRONICLE AND DIRECTORY FOR 1887. With which is incorporated THE CHINA DIRECTORY. (Twenty-fifth Annual Issue). COMPLETE, WITH APPENDIX, PLANS, &c., &c. Royal 8vo., pp. 1,150. Price, \$3.00. SMALLER EDITION, Royal 8vo., pp. 776. Price, \$2.00.

THE CHRONICLE AND DIRECTORY has been thoroughly revised and brought up to date, and is again much increased in bulk.

NOTICE.

GARDEN SEEDS.

Season 1887-88.

The following SEEDS required for sowing in August and September can now be supplied, viz.—
CELESTY. CYCLAMEN.

CINERARIA. OUR FIRST SHIPMENT OF ASSORTED VEGETABLE AND FLOWER SEEDS IS EXPECTED SHORTLY.

Catalogues will be sent FREE OF CHARGE on and after the 30th instant.
A. S. WATSON & CO., LTD.
Hongkong, 15th August, 1887.

NOTICE TO CORRESPONDENTS. Communications to Editorial matters should be addressed to "The Editor," and those on business "The Manager," and not to individuals.

Correspondents are requested to forward their name and address with communications addressed to the Editor, not for publication, but as evidence of good faith.

All letters for publication should be written on one side of the paper only. Advertisements and Subscriptions which are not ordered for a fixed period will be continued until countermanded.

Orders for extra copies of the Daily Press should be sent before 11 a.m. on the day of publication. After that hour the supply is limited.

TELEPHONE NO. 12.

BIRTHS.

At Newburgh, on the 25th August, Mrs. J. P. BARRIS, of a son. On the 28th September, at her residence, Wai, the wife of H. BARRIS, of a daughter.

At Shanghai, on the 7th September, 1887, DEER, the daughter of James DEER, J.M. Customs, aged 2 years.

At the General Hospital, on the 8th September, HENRY HEATH, an Assistant Engineer in the I.M. Customs, aged 45 years.

On the 9th September, at the Government Civil Hospital, ARTHUR DEAN, late master of the British ship *Narcissus*.

DEATHS.

At 157, Broadway, Shanghai, on the 5th September, 1887, MATTHEW, the beloved wife of T. W. HARRIS, P. O. S. N. Co., aged 58 years.

At Shanghai, on the 7th September, 1887, DEER, the daughter of James DEER, J.M. Customs, aged 2 years.

At the General Hospital, on the 8th September, HENRY HEATH, an Assistant Engineer in the I.M. Customs, aged 45 years.

On the 9th September, at the Government Civil Hospital, ARTHUR DEAN, late master of the British ship *Narcissus*.

On the 10th September, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 11th September, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 12th September, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 13th September, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 14th September, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 15th September, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 16th September, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 17th September, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 18th September, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 19th September, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 20th September, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 21st September, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 22nd September, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 23rd September, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 24th September, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 25th September, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 26th September, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 27th September, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 28th September, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 29th September, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 30th September, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 1st October, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 2nd October, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 3rd October, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 4th October, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 5th October, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 6th October, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 7th October, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 8th October, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 9th October, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 10th October, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 11th October, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 12th October, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 13th October, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 14th October, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 15th October, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 16th October, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 17th October, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 18th October, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 19th October, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

On the 20th October, at No. 1, Wyndham-street, the wife of Mr. W. J. BARRIS, aged 58 years.

REUTERS' TELEGRAMS.

[REUTERS' TELEGRAMS.]

LONDON, 12th September.

THE ARREST OF MR. O'BRIEN.

The Police have succeeded in arresting Mr. Patrick J. O'Brien.

SAFETY OF MR. STANLEY, THE EXPLORER.

News has been received from the Stanley Expedition up to the 23rd July, when he was safe.

[HAWAII TELEGRAMS IN BALCON PAPER.]

PARIS, 14th September.

THE MOBILISATION EXPERIMENT.

The mobilisation experiment of the 17th Army Corps promises to be a complete success.

GERMANY AND RUSSIA.

The rumors of an approaching meeting between the Emperors of Germany and Russia require confirmation.

PARIS, 15th September.

The meeting of the two Emperors will not take place.

THE EMPEROR OF GERMANY AND THE ARMY MANOEUVRES.

The Emperor William has announced that, acting on the advice of his doctors, he will not be present at the German Army Manoeuvres.

SUPREMACY COURT.

14th September.

IN SUMMARY JURISDICTION.

BEFORE MR. A. J. LEACH, ACTING JUDGE.

LUM YAT TSI, LAU LUI SAN.

This suit was instituted by complainant in consequence of defendant having cut a channel and thus diverted a watercourse of which complainant claims exclusive right.

Mr. Wilkinson appeared for the plaintiff, and Mr. Master (of Messrs. Sharp, Johnson, and Stokes) for the defendant.

The following petition was filed by the plaintiff:

(1.) That by a deed of Crows Lane dated 8th November, 1851, a certain piece of land situate in the colony of Hongkong and registered in the Land Office as a section of land No. 15, was conveyed to one Sam Hoi, the son of 99 years, together with all the rights and appurtenances thereto in anywise connected therewith.

(2.) That by an indenture dated 31st March, 1863, the said piece of land was conveyed to the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor.

(3.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(4.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(5.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(6.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(7.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(8.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(9.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(10.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(11.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(12.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(13.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(14.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(15.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(16.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(17.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(18.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(19.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(20.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(21.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(22.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(23.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(24.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(25.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(26.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(27.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(28.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(29.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(30.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(31.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(32.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(33.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(34.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(35.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(36.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(37.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(38.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(39.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(40.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(41.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(42.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(43.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(44.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(45.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(46.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(47.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(48.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(49.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(50.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(51.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(52.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(53.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(54.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(55.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(56.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(57.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(58.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(59.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(60.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(61.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(62.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(63.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(64.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(65.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(66.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(67.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(68.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(69.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(70.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

(71.) That the defendant, through the agency of the said Sam Hoi, the plaintiff's ancestor, cut a channel through the said piece of land, and thus diverted a watercourse of which the plaintiff claims exclusive right.

same time, was sold for \$5. The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

The lot on the other side of No. 15 was not sold.

SHIPPING IN JAPANESE WATERS

U.	TONS.	CONSIGNEES.	DESTINATION.
NAGASAKI.			
PORT ON 29th AUGUST, 1887.			
STEAMERS.			
tr	646	Nippon Yusen Kaisha	
tr	1331	BATTLING VESSELS.	
tr	400	Nippon Yusen Kaisha	
Kobe.			
PORT ON 29th AUGUST, 1887.			
STEAMERS.			
tr	1331	Simon, Evers & Co	
tr	1331	M. (Lima) & Co	
tr	1139	Nippon Yusen Kaisha	
SAILING VESSELS.			
sh	2121	C. & J. Trading Co	
sh	1303	C. & J. Trading Co	
sh	1161	Brown & Co	
YOKOHAMA.			
PORT ON 1st SEPTEMBER, 1887.			
STEAMERS.			
tr	1661	C. P. M. S. S. Co	
tr	973	Butterfield & Swire	
tr	1273	Lighthouse Department	
tr	1324	Butterfield & Swire	
tr	234	Japanese	
tr	1588	Adamson, Ball & Co	
tr	231	Messageries Maritimes	
tr	1171	Messageries Maritimes	
SAILING VESSELS.			
sh	1452	C. & J. Trading Co	
sh	634	Simon, Evers & Co	
sh	1114	C. & J. Trading Co	
sh	1487	Fraser, Farley & Co	
sh	61	R. Clark & Co	
sh	110	C. & J. Trading Co	
SOUTHERN PORTS.			
U.	TONS.	CONSIGNEES.	DESTINATION.
MANILA.			
PORT ON 6th SEPTEMBER, 1887.			
STEAMERS.			
tr	535	Tan Anso	
tr	1131	E. L. Rogers	
tr	921	C. Palana	
tr	2331	Compania General	
tr	84	de Sautera	
tr	374	B. G. Ram & Co	
tr	2924	Compania General	
tr	1226	Smith, Bell & Co	
SAILING VESSELS.			
sh	1110	W. F. Stevenson & Co	Liverpool
sh	1131	W. F. Stevenson & Co	
sh	632	Orford	
sh	963	Qui Gai	
sh	1075	Ker & Co	Boston
sh	1123	Ker & Co	
sh	660	Ker & Co	
sh	1555	W. F. Stevenson & Co	
sh	1212	W. F. Stevenson & Co	U. Kingdom
sh	1123	W. F. Stevenson & Co	
sh	490	Smith, Bell & Co	
sh	590	Forbes, Mann & Co	
sh	1528	W. F. Stevenson & Co	Porton
sh	105	W. F. Stevenson & Co	London
sh	1123	W. F. Stevenson & Co	
sh	282	W. F. Stevenson & Co	
sh	1145	W. F. Stevenson & Co	
sh	1174	Smith, Bell & Co	New York
PORT ON 30th JULY, 1887.			
tr	1285	Ker & Co	New York
tr	119	Asses, Probst & Co	United States
tr	1771	Smith, Bell & Co	Boston
tr	234	Battling & Co	United States
tr	113	er & Co	
CEBU.			
PORT ON 28th JULY, 1887.			
tr	453	Smith, Bell & Co	New York
tr	297	Smith, Bell & Co	United States
tr	428	C. Lines	Hongkong
HAIPHONG.			
PORT ON 29th SEPTEMBER, 1887.			
tr	445	Wing-sui-tai & Co	
tr	662	Ulysse Hia & Co	
tr	1120	Denis froies	
BANGKOK.			
PORT ON 24th AUGUST, 1887.			
SAILING VESSELS.			
tr	336	Poh Sing	
tr	1117	Wing-sui-tai, Hono & Co	
tr	836	China	
tr	300	Low Mui Tong	
tr	342	Poh S. S.	
tr	440	B. G. Gie	
tr	170	Chia Seng	
tr	151	er	
tr	373	Windsor, Clark & Co	
tr	477	Borneo Co., Limited	
tr	342	Yat Chin Seng	
tr	665	Borneo Co., Limited	
tr	636	Hing Lee	
tr	701	Wang Lee	
SHIPS IN THE CHINA SQUADRON.			
U.	N.P.	CAPTAIN.	WHERE AT.
6	3150	Com. R. B. Macdonald	Hongkong
6	4830	Capt. R. H. H. Kane	Cruising N.
6	4020	Captain H. C. Kane	Shanghai
6	470	Captain Com. Bolester	Cruising N.
6	420	Captain H. C. B. Ys	Japan
6	440	In reserve	Hongkong
6	385	Lieut.-Com. Adams	Hankow
6	601	Com. H. J. Balfour	Cruising N.
6	1170	Capt. Martin J. Denlop	Cruising N.
6	1058	Com. W. H. Marnack	Cruising N.
6	430	Lt.-Com. W. M. Vaturin	Shanghai
6	1117	Capt. F. F. Martin	Cruising N.
6	4010	Captain E. H. Ryse	Singapore
6	370	Com. W. J. Moore	Singapore
6	1200	Lt.-Com. A. G. Karelle	E. R. China
6	2360	Capt. W. G. Karelle	Cruising N.
6	1117	Capt. H. A. Hing	Hongkong
6	1010	Commander Bromley	Cru

25 SEP 87

1

ed, we were very anxious to retain the services of Mr. MacEwen, at all events until we finished with the Health Bill but whatever

I am glad you have been able to see Mr. MacBrewer at each mile between these two points, and that they could not find anything to say no doubt that, at least I hope that, as our friend on the right said, we shall see Mr. Even amongst us again.

On my return to the Singapore Consulate, C.F.E. BATES—our Excellency, I don't think there was any arrangement between the gentlemen. Only having a great deal to do, as his stay is not likely to be long, Mr. Bates intended to devote all his time to the "Buck." It is best it should be so; there is no arrangement. It is simply one had too much to do and had to resign. There is no doubt, as my hon friend said, Mr. MacBrewer will be elevated.

IS EXCELLENCY—I am glad you have made some remarks. If any little word escaped me it may give rise to a wrong impression let me inform you in case. I will read Mr. Jackson's speech which is just as you say.

8th September.
I have the honour to request you to inform Excellency the Acting Governor that I wish to be appointed to the position of Council. I do so because I feel I cannot spare sufficient time to do so to the appointment.

As regards these gentlemen connected with the service, they came to that agreement it was not an arrangement with the Government.

ADJOURNMENT
The Council then adjourned to Monday, the 9th inst.

HONG KONG AND MANILA STEAMSHIP COMPANY, LIMITED.

An extraordinary general meeting of the shareholders of the above company was held on the 26th August, at 7 o'clock, P.M., at Messrs. Messrs. Russell & Co., Hongkong for the purpose of considering the resolution passed on the 20th August. There were present

Mr. J. S. FORBES (Chairman), J. S. TALBOT, Mr. R. S. SHEWAN and Mr. J. S. WOSTES.

The SECRETARY having read the notices concerning the meeting and the minutes of the previous meeting,

MR. CHAIRMAN said—This is only a formal meeting called to confirm the resolution that was passed at the previous meeting to the effect that "The present articles of association be altered by adding at the end of article 4 the words 'any company may from time to time reduce its capital.'"

MR. TALBOT—What are the other alterations?

MR. CHAIRMAN—They will come on at a meeting to be called later. This meeting is summoned to confirm the resolution giving us the power to reduce our capital, a power which we cannot exercise before. We had the power to reduce our capital at any time.

MR. J. S. WOSTES then proposed that the resolution be confirmed.

MR. TALBOT seconded, and the resolution carried unanimously.

THE ACTING GOVERNOR'S VISIT TO THE ALICE MEMORIAL HOSPITAL, HONGKONG.

The Secretary of the Alice Memorial Hospital has received the following communication from His Excellency the Acting Governor, Sir-General Cameron, (C.B.):

"I visited last week to the Alice Memorial Hospital, and was very much struck. There can be no doubt about the good work being done of the high reputation the institution is already acquiring amongst the Chinese, considering you told me that there had been over 500 patients treated either inside or outside the hospital since it was opened, not more than six months ago. This is indeed most encouraging, a clear proof that the prejudices of the Chinese against themselves and themselves their kindred are as easily overcome in Hongkong as in the Straits Settlements and Protectorates, where I have seen our Hospitals as of them as they could hold. The value of such work is to be warmly commended on the part of the Government, both as the means of alleviating an immense amount of human suffering and teaching Chinese fellow-subjects and neighbours how to live. This was a real pleasure to me, everything so clean and well ordered—the wards like to look cheerful and not dingy-like, the patients apparently well contented and receptive of what had been done for them.

"The medical gentlemen, who I analysed and assessed, were given the highest praise and deserve the hearty thanks and support of community; and I trust Dr. Ho Kai, the excellent founder of the Alice Memorial Hospital, who is to be warmly commended on the success already achieved, may have his anticipations fully realised.

"I enclose the enclosed document in aid of the Hospital as a token of the interest I take in it and of my best wishes for its future progress and development."

ned: His Excellency had already, before his
t to the Hospital, been pleased to make the

out of the charitable allowances.

THE HONGKONG GOVERNMENT, SCHOLARSHIPS.

The following amended regulations, for Government Scholarships for the study of Law, Medicine, or Civil Engineering in the United Kingdom, have been approved by the Secretary of State and are published in Saturday's *Gazette*:—

—The Government of Hongkong offers, for the study of Law, Medicine, or Civil Engineering in the United Kingdom, Government Scholarships of £60 (two hundred pounds) each, per annum, for one year, to scholars of any Public School recognized as such by the Governor in the Colony.

—The holder of a Scholarship will be awarded in each separate year.

—The holder of a Scholarship can select the collegiate course he wishes to follow or the institution where he is to study, subject to the sanction of the Governor or the Secretary of State for the Colonies, but King's College, London, is recommended as the best suited for the education of boys and beginning students of study required by men in the same establishment.

—The Scholarships will be awarded, after competitive examination, to the Candidate who receives the highest marks, but subject to the provisions mentioned below in paragraphs 5, 6, and 7.

CANDIDATES.

—The Candidates will be scholars, previously approved by the Governor, from any recognized school or institution in the Colony.

—They will be required to bring the following certificates to the test Master of the respective School:—

a.) A Certificate of good moral character.

b.) Certificate of age. Candidates must not exceed 20 years, nor be below 17 years.

—The Candidates starting in the first year have been scholars for at least the three (3) years last past previous to the Examination, at the School that presents them or at any two of the Public Schools recognized by the Government.

—Every Candidate will be required to undergo a Medical Examination with a view to ascertaining that he is in a sound state of health. The successful Candidate will be required:—

—To proceed to England within two months of his admission.

—To send a written statement to report himself to the Colonial Office as having entered his name at the College or Institution, approved by the Governor or Secretary of State, for the purpose.

—To send in proof thereof a letter from the Principal of the Institution.

Correspondent.